

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,158	10/840,158 05/06/2004		Udo Woersdoerfer	DT-6802	7392	
30377	7590	08/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID TOREN, ESQ. ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB				DERAKSHAN	DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE	
666 THIRD AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5621				3754		

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Then	
ER ET AL.	
ddress	
ely. communication.	
e merits is	
FR 1.121(d). TO-152.	
l Stage	
-	

			1 19 W					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/840,158	WOERSDOERFER	ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	3754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	'ess					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will by statuted the period for reply will by statuted the period for reply will be pe	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this com IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	May 2005.							
,	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•		nerits is					
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5, 10, 16 and 17</u> is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8 and 15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11-14</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	are withdrawn from consideratio	n.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin								
• — • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National S	itage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date: Patent Application (PTO-	152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 2-5, 10 and 16-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/19/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansson in view of Shapero et al.

Jansson shows a squeezing out device having a storage container 31, roller 11, 12 and spring 24 and support 10. Jansson lacks supporting separate packages.

Shapero et al shows a squeeze out device supporting separate packages (figure 10) to dispense a multi compound material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Jansson device supporting separate packages as taught by Shapero et al to dispense a multi compound material.

The Jansson/ Shapero et al device disclose the claimed invention except for the specific material of the support, package and contained material. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Jansson/ Shapero et al device support

Art Unit: 3754

made of plastic, package made of foil and contained material a rinsing fluid, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the material structure and contained material of a component dependent upon the desired application. A change in material structure and contained material is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bond, Wilson, Pearson and Kawase et al were cited to show further examples of squeeze out devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

PD 7/31/05